

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,640	09/19/2003	Chun-Chia Chen	13938 B	6103
36672 7	590 03/31/2006		EXAM	NER
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ.			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
90 JOHN STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10038			3749	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>O</i> 8	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,640	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alfred Basichas	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	September 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdenset 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received.  ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	r

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with minor grammatical and idiomatic errors.
  - b. Claims 2-4 all appear to have been intended as dependent claims as they recite various limitations with the term "the" even though mentioned for the first time in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Art Unit: 3749** 

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-5, *as understood*, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (5,094,259), which discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations, as understood. Hsu discloses a timer device for a gas range for automatic shut-off including, among other things, a housing 1, a wheel 14, a motor, a microswitch LS, a circuit 10 (see at least fig. 9), spring 16, drive shaft 910, and a knob 3. Hsu does not specifically recite the wheel including a v-shaped cross section or a leaf spring.

  Official Notice is given that wheels including a v-shaped cross sections or a leaf springs are old and well known in the art to be functionally equivalent to a drive shaft and coil

**Art Unit: 3749** 

spring, respectively. The selection of one equivalent over another is a matter of design choice based on manufacturing considerations. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the wheel including a v-shaped cross section and leaf spring into the invention disclosed by Hsu, so as to provide for manufacturing considerations.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

March 28, 2006

Primary Examiner